

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,586	02/09/2001	Leonard S. Girsh	4403-9 D12	1293	
35811	7590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER		
IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP			PRATT, HELEN F		
SUITE 4900	1 51		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			1761	
				_	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/ /			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	09/781,586	GIRSH, LEONARD S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Helen F. Pratt	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	_				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 96-165 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 96-165 are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the output of the correct of the output o	epted or b) objected to by the formula or b) objected to by the formula or by the fo	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 96-100, 102, 103, 113 and 133-136, 161, drawn to a method for reducing the allergenicity of nuts, classified in class 426, subclass 632.
- II. Claims 104-106, 113, 114, 137-139, 140, 162 drawn to a method of reducing the allergenicity of food containing egg allergens classified in class 426, subclass 614.
- III. Claims 107-110, 115, 127-130, 141-144, 163 drawn to a method for reducing the allergenicity of undissolved milk allergens classified in class 426, subclass 580.
- IV. Claims 111-112, 116, 117, 145, 146-148, 164, drawn to a method of reducing the allergenicity of vaccine components, classified in class 424, subclass 181.1 +.
- V. Claims 118-120, 149-152, 165 drawn to a method of reducing the allergenicity of grains, classified in class 426, subclass 656.
- VI. Claims 121-126, 131, 153-156, drawn to a method of treating supper allergens and product thereof, classified in class 426, subclass 643.
- VII. Claims drawn to 127-130, 132, 157-160, classified in class 426, subclass 587.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-VII are directed to or related by the feature that each food group is treated with super critical fluid or critical liquid gas. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, one cannot say that in treating the distinct food groups, with super critical fluid, that it is obvious to treat all foods with super critical fluid because each food group as shown by the different groupings contain different materials that cause allergies.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: plant, animal, bacterial, fungal, viral as in claim 111. The species are independent or distinct because they contain different allergens.

Also, claims 122-126 contains claims to the following patentably distinct species, tree nuts, lobster, shrimp or peanuts. The species are independent or distinct because they contain different allergens.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 111 and 121 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen F. Pratt whose telephone number is 571-272-1404. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,586 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Milton Cano, can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hp 3-16-06

HELEN PRATT PRIMARY EXAMINER